UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,062	10/06/2003	Tomio Hirano	243521US6	4093	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
			NORRIS, JEREMY C		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	•	ART UNIT . PAPER NUMBER		
•					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		07/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

				•		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/678,062	HIRANO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeremy C. Norris	2841			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHICE - Extended after - If NO - Failty Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (a), cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u>1ay 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	· .				
. کار	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6 and 10-12</u> is/are		tion			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-9,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Annlicat	ion Papers					
_						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	· ·				
اکبا(۱۰	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2005</u> is/are					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stage			
•	application from the International Bureau					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
			·			
•			•			
Attachmen	ut(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,062

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11 April 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,807,064 B2 (Hedler).

Hedler discloses, referring primarily to figures 1-5, a multilayer wired board including at least part of an electrical circuit board in which a plurality of wired boards (7, 18) are stacked so as to face their wired surfaces (2, 20) toward each other, comprising: electrical connection parts between said multilayer wired boards are connected through an elastic conductive material part (9, 10) adhered to one of said wired boards; and a

Art Unit: 2841

double-sided adhesive material part (12, 14, 16) is provided between the plurality of wired boards to adhere them together, and an opening is formed in the double-sided adhesive material part so as to surround at least part of a peripheral edge portion of said elastic conductive material part to seal said plurality of multilayer wired boards; wherein, said elastic conductive material part is confined in diameter within the opening of said double-sided adhesive material part [claim 1], wherein said elastic conductive material part is formed in a convex shape, the bottom of said elastic conductive material part is adhered to one of said wired boards and the top of said elastic conductive material part is adhered to an electrical connection part of other side of said wired board, whereby electrical connection is established [claim 2], wherein said elastic conductive material part is formed in a convex shape and the height from the bottom to the top of said elastic conductive material part is set to 200-400 μ m (col. 2, lines 15-20) [claim 3], wherein said elastic conductive material part does not contact said double-sided adhesive material part [claim 13].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 4

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedler in view of US 2001/0020985 A1 (Hinata).

Hedler discloses, a first board (7), and a second board (18) opposing said first board by a predetermined distance; electrical connection parts between said first board and said second board being connected through an elastic conductive material part (9, 10) adhered only to said first board; a double-sided adhesive material part (12, 14, 16) provided between the plurality of wired boards to adhere them together, and an opening being formed in the double-sided adhesive material part so as to surround at least part of a peripheral edge portion of said elastic conductive material part to seal said first board and said second board; wherein, said elastic conductive material part is confined in diameter within the opening of said double-sided adhesive material part. Heldler

Art Unit: 2841

does not specifically disclose that the device is a touch panel wherein the first board is a light transmission first board having a light transmission conductive layer formed as a predetermined pattern thereon and the second board is a light transmission second board made of a flexible material having a light transmission conductive layer thereon [claim 7]. However, it is well known in the art, as evidenced by Hinata (referring primarily to figure 1), to a touch panel (1) comprising a light transmission first board (8a) having a light transmission conductive layer (9a) formed as a predetermined pattern thereon and a light transmission second board (8b) made of a flexible material ([0070]) having a light transmission conductive layer (9b) thereon. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use the above configuration for the first and second boards in the invention of Hedler. The motivation for doing so would have been to increase the range of potential applications of the device by allowing the device to be able to accept optical signals.

Additionally, the modified invention of Hedler teaches wherein said elastic conductive material part is formed in a convex shape, the bottom of said elastic conductive material part is adhered to one of said wired boards and the top of said elastic conductive material part is adhered to an electrical connection part of other side of said wired board, whereby electrical connection is established [claim 8], wherein said elastic conductive material part is formed in a convex shape and the height from the bottom to the top of said elastic conductive material part is set to 200-400 μ m (col. 2, lines 15-20) [claim 9], wherein said elastic conductive material part does not contact said double-sided adhesive material part [claim 14].

Art Unit: 2841

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7-9, 13, and 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy C. Norris

Heronghan

Patent Examiner - Technology

Center 2800

Art Unit 2841